



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,663	01/23/2006	Franciscus L. A. J. Kamperman	NL 030926	2420

24737 7590 09/03/2008

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS

P.O. BOX 3001

BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510

EXAMINER

KEEHN, RICHARD G

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2152

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/03/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/565,663

Applicant(s)

KAMPERMAN ET AL.

Examiner

Richard G. Keehn

Art Unit

2152

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 January 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2, 12 and 13 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 3-11 and 14-23 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 23 January 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB08)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/23/2007.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-2 and 12-13 have been examined and are pending.
2. Claims 3-11, 14-22 and 23 have not been examined on the merits due to improper multiple dependent claim form.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 3-11 and 14-22 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because of multiple dependent claims 3 and 14 respectively. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
6. Claim 13 recites the limitation "system according to claim 1" in the first line of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 1 is a method, not a system. Examiner assumes that Applicant meant "system according to claim 12" and proceeds with examination of Claim 13 based upon that assumption.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

8. Claims 1-2 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 2002/0157002 A1 (Messerges et al.).

As to Claims 1 and 12, Messerges et al. anticipate a method and system of generating an Authorized Domain (AD), the method and system comprising the steps of selecting a domain identifier (Domain_ID) uniquely identifying the Authorized Domain (100) (Messerges et al. – Pages 7-8, ¶ [0068] recites the selection of domain name and identification of user belonging to the domain by, inter alia, domain identifier; Page 6, ¶ [0055] recites domain identifier uniqueness),

binding at least one user (P1, P2, . . . , PN.sub.1) to the domain identifier (Domain_ID) (Messerges et al. – Pages 7-8, ¶ [0068] recites the selection of domain name and identification of user belonging to the domain by, inter alia, domain identifier), and

binding at least one device (D1, D2, . . . , DM) to the domain identifier (Domain_ID) (Messerges et al. – Page 4, ¶ [0036] recites linking the devices to the domain's identifier), and thereby obtaining a number of devices (D1, D2, . . . , DM) and

a number of persons (P1, P2, . . . , PN.sub.1) that is authorized to access a content item of said Authorized Domain (100) (Messerges et al. – Page 3, ¶¶ [0030-0031] recite user authorization of content access).

As to Claims 2 and 13, Messerges et al. anticipate a method and system according to claim 1, characterized in that the method further comprises the step of: binding at least one content item (C1, C2, . . . , CN.sub.2) to the Authorized Domain (AD) given by the domain identifier (Domain_ID) (Messerges et al. – Page 4, ¶ [0037] recites binding content to a domain's identifier).

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. These include:

- | | |
|-------------------|--|
| US 20040083306 A1 | Method and apparatus for maintaining internet domain name data |
| US 20030177376 A1 | Framework for maintaining information security in computer networks |
| US 20030144894 A1 | System and method for creating and managing survivable, service hosting networks |
| US 20020062451 A1 | System and method of providing communication security |

- US 20020040439 A1 Processes systems and networks for secure exchange of information and quality of service maintenance using computer hardware
- US 20020031230 A1 Method and apparatus for a web-based application service model for security management
- US 20010039624 A1 Processes systems and networks for secured information exchange using computer hardware
- US 7194543 B2 System and method for creating and managing survivable, service hosting networks
- US 6880007 B1 Domain manager and method of use
- US 6463534 B1 Secure wireless electronic-commerce system with wireless network domain
- US 6460023 B1 Software authorization system and method
- US 6223291 B1 Secure wireless electronic-commerce system with digital product certificates and digital license certificates

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard G. Keehn whose telephone number is 571-270-5007. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 9:00am - 8:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit can be reached on 571-272-3913. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RGK

/Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2152